

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,508	07/27/2005	Magnus Leinemann	ZAHFRI P744US	6825
20210 DAVIS & DIII	7590 01/25/2007		EXAMINER	
112 PLEASAN			CHRISTENSEN, RYAN S	
CONCORD, NH 03301			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2856	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	NTHS	01/25/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/532,508	LEINEMANN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ryan Christensen	2856				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply	LIC OFT TO EXPIRE AMONTH	C) OD TUIDTY (20) DAVE				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim viil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ap	<u>oril 2005</u> .					
· /—	action is non-final.					
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		·				
4)⊠ Claim(s) 15-28 is/are pending in the application	1.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-18, 20, 22 -27</u> is/are rejected.	6) Claim(s) 15-18, 20, 22 -27 is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19,21 and 28</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 April 2005</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
.12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	<u> </u>	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		,				
Attachment(s)	. -	(DTO 442)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/25/205.	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2856

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Claim 17 is objected to because of the following informalities: The separator is designated with the reference character "7", this is inconsistent with the remainder of the application, which designates the separator as "15" and "7" to designate a drill tube. Appropriate correction is required.

Drawings

- 2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "water jet pump" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.
- 3. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional

Art Unit: 2856

replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

- 4. Claim 21 is objected to because of the following informalities: after "Venturi pipe (9)" the "and" appears to be a grammatical error. Appropriate correction is required.
- 5. Claims 25, 26, and 27 are objected to because of the following informalities: in order to read as a step "prevention of" should read "preventing."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 15, 16, 22, 25, 26, 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 2856

- With respect to claims 15, 25, 26, and 27, the phrase "in particular related to transmission oils" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the claim is limited to transmission oils or not. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).
- 9. With respect to claim 16, the term "extensive" is a relative term, which renders the claim indefinite. The term "extensive" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.
- 10. Claim 22 recites the limitation "the temperature regulating container." There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It appears claim 22 was intended to depend form claim 21, but it currently depends from claim 20.
- 11. With respect to claims 25, 26 and 27, "filling up of the oil being tested by means of the receptacle in the air oil mixer" is so unclear as to render the claim indefinite. Does this mean the receptacle is being filled with the oil to be tested, or that the receptacle is a means for introducing the oil to be tested to the air-oil mixer?
- 12. With respect to claims 25, 26, and 27, it is unclear what "filling up more of the oil being tested" means for the same reasons mentioned above. Does this mean the receptacle is being filled with more oil to be tested, or that the receptacle is a means for introducing more oil to be tested to the air-oil mixer?
- 13. Claims 25, 26, and 27 recite the limitation "the receptacle (14)." There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/532,508

Art Unit: 2856

- 14. With respect to claim 25, "transfer of all oils being tested and measurement of all regulating volume flows at respective constant volume flows" is not a step. Is the claim intending to measure the volume flow of oil being tested and regulate the transfer of oils being tested to respective constant volume flows?
- 15. With respect to claim 26, "measurement of differential pressures," and
 "measurement of the surface foam" are not steps and it's unclear what applicant
 is claiming.
- 16. With respect to claims 26 and 27 "time measurement and measurement of the respective differential pressures at regular intervals" are not steps and it's unclear what applicant is claiming.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 17. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 18. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 2856

19. Claims rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5.965.805 (Watts et al.) in view of U.S. Patent 6,612,187 (Lund).

- 20. With respect to claim 1, Watts et al. disclose a device for the determination of air content (abstract), of transmission oils (transmission fluids, Col. 1, lines 5-9), with an air-oil mixer (nozzle 13, is which injects the air into the oil is considered to mix the air and the oil in the pipes 1 and 7 of Fig. 1) and a differential pressure sensor (16, Fig. 1), the device comprising a conveyor system (pump, 2, Fig. 1) which transports the oils though pipe lines (1 and 7, Fig. 1) of the air-oil mixer, a compressed air port (13, Fig. 1) that provides for air in the pipe lines (1 and 7, Fig. 1).
- 21. Watts et al. disclose the a sample is removed from the system and the entrained air is measured, Watts et al. does not disclose the in situ measurement of entrained air trough a Venturi tube and a differential pressure sensor.
- 22. However, Lund discloses a Venturi tube (8, Fig. 1) with drill tubes upstream and downstream (16 and 18, Fig. 1) for the measurement of a gas fraction in a multiphase fluid (abstract). The invention uses the differential pressure measurements in order to determine the amount of gas in the fluid (Col. 3, lines 19-37).
- 23. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system disclosed by Watts et al., by including a the Venturi member for determining the amount of entrained air in situ, as disclosed by Lund, in order to provide continuous measurements.

Art Unit: 2856

- 24. With respect to claim 16, the air injection (13) is controllable (Col. 3, lines 25-31) and is considered to be turbulent for the purpose of mixing the air and the oil.
- 25. With respect to claims 17 and 20, the reservoir (4, Fig. 1) is considered to be a separator in that foam will rise to the top.
- 26. With respect to claim 18, the dimensions of the separator are considered to be a mere optimization of workable ranges which would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art through routine experimentation (See MPEP 2144.05).
- 27. With respect to claim 23, the computer 50, disclosed in Lund is considered to be an AD converter map and a calculator. The computer is connected to the differential pressure detector for the purpose of determining the gas fraction in the fluid under test.
- 28. With respect to claim 24, Watts et al. disclose a gear pump (7) to convey fluid through they system.

Allowable Subject Matter

29. Claims 19, 21, and 28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Pertinent Prior Art

30. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2856

31. U.S. Patent 5,375,459 (Gerke et al.) discloses a system for measuring air separation behavior through a foam cell.

32. U.S. Patent 5,243,848 (Cox et al.) discloses a system for determining the volume of gases in oil.

Conclusion

- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan Christensen whose telephone number is
 571-272-2683. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday, 8am 5pm.
- 34. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron Williams can be reached on 571-272-2208. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service

Art Unit: 2856

Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

RC

RC

HEZRON WILLIAMS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800